
VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS

2017-2018 Financial Management Report Presentation and Public Hearing

(Data for Fiscal Year 2016-2017)



Presentation Date: December 20, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

- 1. PowerPoint Presentation
- 2. VTS Overall Rating Summary Report
- 3. VTS Indicator Summary Report
- 4. VTS Individual Indicator Detail Reports
- 5. Statewide Rating Comparison Report
- 6. VTS Rating Comparison to Prior Year
- 7. Required Superintendent and Board Disclosures

2017-2018 Financial Management Report PowerPoint Presentation

Village Tech Schools Public Hearing and Presentation of Financial Management Report

Thursday, December 20, 2018

Overview

- 2017-2018 Charter FIRST rating system and indicators
- VTS Charter FIRST ratings
- Statewide Comparison
- Prior Year FIRST Rating Comparison
- Additional Management Report Requirements
 - Superintendent's Contract
 - Superintendent and Board disclosures

2017-2018 Charter FIRST Rating System

- For the 2017-18 rating year, 2016-2017 financial data was used. The information used for the FIRST rating was obtained by TEA through required data submissions in January of 2018.
- Fifteen (15) indicators were used to evaluate the financial compliance and solvency of Village Tech Schools for the fiscal year evaluated.
 - Indicators 1-5 were critical indicators and were answered Yes or No; an answer of No on indicators 1, 2A, 3, 4 or 5 was an automatic failure of Charter FIRST. A charter school could pass with an answer of No for indicator 2B, but not an answer of No on both 2A and 2B.
 - Indicators 6-15 were calculated based on data provided and given a score of 0-10 points. If all five critical indicators were passed and the LEA received a minimum score of 60 (out of 100), a Passing rating was awarded.

2017-18 Charter FIRST indicators

- Indicator 1: Was the complete Annual Financial Report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?
 - The close of the fiscal year was August 31, 2017; therefore, the deadline for submission was January 28, 2018.
 - As shown on the detail report for Indicator 1, the AFR and data report were received by TEA on January 23, 2018.

Score: PASS

- Indicator 2A: Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPI) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)
 - As reflected in the AFR for fiscal year 2016-17, the auditor for Village Tech Schools issued an unmodified opinion.
- Score: PASS

- Indicator 2B: Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)
 - As reflected in the AFR for fiscal year 2016-17, the auditor for Village Tech Schools did not issue a finding for any instance of material weakness.
- Score: Not scored; indicator 2 passed with an answer of Yes for indicator 2A

- Indicator 3: Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?
 - As reflected in the AFR for fiscal year 2016-17, the auditor for Village Tech Schools found that VTS was in compliance with all debt agreements at fiscal year end.
- Score: PASS

- Indicator 4: Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other governmental agencies?
 - As reflected in the AFR for fiscal year 2016-17, Village Tech Schools made timely payments to all governmental agencies.
- Score: PASS

- Indicator 5: Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero?
 - As reflected in the AFR for fiscal year 2016-17 on the Statement of Financial Position, the net asset balance for Village Tech Schools at fiscal year end was \$2,408,554.
- Score: PASS

- Indicator 6: Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses? (Depreciation and pension expenses excluded.)
 - Formula: [(\$696,638 + \$0)/(\$6,158,721 \$272,612 \$0)]*365 = 43.20 days cash on hand
 - Points were awarded on a sliding scale. VTS was awarded 6 total points for this indicator for having a days cash on hand value >= 40 but <50.</p>
- Score: 6 points (maximum awarded is 10)

- Indicator 7: Was the measure of current assets for the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt?
 - Formula: (\$877,927/\$490,277) = 1.79
 - Points were awarded on a sliding scale. VTS was awarded 8 total points for this indicator for having a ratio >= 1.75 but <2.
- Score: 8 points

- Indicator 8: Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency?
 - Formula: (\$1,076,035 \$0)/\$3,974,866 = 0.271
 - For points to be awarded for this indicator, a charter school needed a value for this calculation of less than or equal to 1. Maximum points were awarded for a value of less than or equal to 0.60.
- Score: 10 points (maximum awarded)

- Indicator 9: Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation? If not, was the charter school's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 40 days? (Calculation excludes depreciation and pension expenses.)
 - Formula: [\$6,705,270/(\$6,158,721 \$272,612 \$0)] = 1.14
 - For points to be awarded for this indicator, a charter school must have a value greater than zero.
- ☐ Score: 10 points

- Indicator 10: Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?
 - Formula: (\$6,705,270 \$6,158,721 + \$272,612 + \$500,741 \$0) divided by \$500,741 = 2.64
 - For minimum points to be awarded for this indicator, a charter school needed a value greater than or equal to one. Maximum points were awarded for any value greater than or equal to 1.20.
- Score: 10 points (maximum awarded)

- Indicator 11: Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or below the threshold ratio?
 - Formula: \$443,366/\$3,113,585 = 0.1424
 - For partial points (5) to be awarded for this indicator, a charter school needed a ratio less than or equal to 0.2561. Maximum points for a school the size of VTS were awarded for any ratio less than or equal to 0.1561.
- Score: 10 points (maximum awarded)

- Indicator 12: Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the charter school will automatically pass this indicator.)
 - Total enrollment three years prior to the year under review was 727 students. Enrollment for the year under review was 823. There was no decrease in student enrollment over three years; therefore, VTS automatically passed this indicator.
- Score: 10 points

- Indicator 13: Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in an total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?
 - This figure comes from expenditures as shown in the Mid-Year PEIMS submission report compared to expenditures taken directly from the AFR and submitted through the Audit Data Submission template.
 - Formula: \$24 / \$6,158,721 = 0.0000039
 - The total sum of all differences was \$24 which is less than a 3 percent variance.
- ☐ Score: 10 points

- Indicator 14: Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)
 - As reflected in the AFR for fiscal year 2016-17, the auditor for Village Tech Schools found no instances of material noncompliance. This indicator was either awarded 10 points (for an answer of "yes") or 0 points (for an answer of "no").
- Score: 10 points

- Indicator 15: Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?
 - VTS had not received an over-allocation of FSP funds; therefore, the school was not on any repayment schedule for this purpose. This indicator was either awarded 10 points (for an answer of "yes") or 0 points (for an answer of "no").
- Score: 10 points

2017-18 VTS FIRST Rating Summary

- Critical Indicators:
 - ☐ Indicator 1: PASS
 - Indicator 2A: PASS
 - Indicator 2B: Not scored (2A was passed)
 - Indicator 3: PASS
 - Indicator 4: PASS
 - ☐ Indicator 5: PASS
- With passing scores on all five critical indicators, the remaining 10 indicators were scored as shown on the following slide.

2017-18 VTS FIRST Rating Summary

- Other Indicators:
 - □ Indicator 6: 6 points
 - □ Indicator 7: 8 points
 - Indicator 8: 10 points
 - □ Indicator 9: 10 points
 - □ Indicator 10: 10 points
 - □ Indicator 11: 10 points
 - Indicator 12: 10 points
 - Indicator 13: 10 points
 - □ Indicator 14: 10 points
 - Indicator 15: 10 points
- With a total score for all remaining indicators of 94 out of 100 possible points, VTS was awarded a rating of "A Superior" for the 2017-18 rating cycle, achieving the highest Charter FIRST rating possible.

2017-18 FIRST – Statewide Comparison

- A total of 165 Charter Schools were given ratings for the 2017-18 rating cycle
- Of the 165 schools rated, 157 schools were given a Passing rating (passing rate of 95.15%)
- Breakdown of ratings as follows:
 - Superior (A) 118 charter schools
 - Above Standard (B) 23 charter schools
 - Meets Standard (C) 16 charter schools
 - Substandard Achievement (F) 8 charter schools

2017-18 FIRST – Prior Year Comparison

- Prior year FIRST rating comparison:
 - 2016-2017: Superior with 96 out of 100 possible points awarded
 - Highest rating possible achieved
 - 2017-2018: A Superior with 94 out of 100 possible points awarded
 - Highest rating possible achieved

2017-18 VTS Financial Management Report

Current Superintendent's Contract – posted to the website at the following link:

https://4.files.edl.io/3108/11/15/18/180206_e9500ba9_439e_4062_a0cf_lecb773d50a8.pdf

- Superintendent and Board disclosures attached
 - Reimbursements to David Williams, Superintendent, in the amount of \$4318.85 for reimbursable expenses.
 - No expenses reimbursed to Board members

Village Tech Schools Overall Rating Summary Report



Rating Year:

2017-2018 + CDN: 057847

Help

Home

Select An Option

2017-2018 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2017 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail

Indicator Detail Summary

Determination of Ratings

Size-Dependent Indicators

Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)

Publication Level 0: 8/1/2018 10:27:31 AM

Status: **PASSED** **Publication Level 1:** 8/6/2018 2:47:39 PM

Rating: A - Superior

Publication Level 2: 8/8/2018 12:11:45 PM

Charter School Score: 94

Passing Score: 60

Last Updated: 8/8/2018 12:11:45 PM

Options

Print

The <u>Texas Education Agency</u>
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above. charterfirst 3.0,3,18

Village Tech Schools Indicator Summary Report



Rating Year: 2017-2018 + CDN:

057847

Select An Option

Help

Home Exit

/ILLA				
	GE TECH SCH	IOOLS(057847)		
Status	Indicator Num	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
P	†1 1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	YES
P	†1 2A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	YES
	28	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	A Company of the Comp
	†1 3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter school is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	The control of the co

Р	†1 4	Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue		YES
P	#1 5	Service (IRS), and other government agencies? Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have an average of 7 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 7 percent increase in 5 years.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	YES
Augina Augunousera	6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	6
	7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt?	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	8
8		Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have an average of 7 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 7 percent increase in 5 years.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	
		Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation? If not, was the charter school's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 40 days? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	10
	10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	10
	11	Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	10
	12	Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the charter school will automatically pass this indicator.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	10
AND DO Y CHOOSE OF SHEAT	13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education</u> <u>Information Management System (PEIMS) data</u> <u>to like information in the charter school's AFR</u> <u>result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?</u>	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	10
	14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM	10

Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	18 10:27:28 10
AND CONTROL OF THE CO	94 Weighted Sum
A PC BY THE IN IS C. M. MING DAY GAY THAN 1887 DESIGN DESIGN	1 Multiplier Sum
†1: must pass 1, 3, 4, 5 and 2A	94 Score
Options	

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov

The Texas Education Agency

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095

Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst $3.0.3.18\,$

Village Tech Schools Individual Indicator Detail Reports

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status



User: Public **User Role: Public**

Rating Year:

2017-2018 + CDN: **Select An Option** Exit Help Home 2017-2018 Indicator Test 1 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the Indicator: November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively? **Status** Passed Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** None Field Value A. Audit Report Received Date: 1/23/2018 8/31/2017 B. Fiscal Year End Date:

Options

Print

Back

C. Submission Deadline Days:

180

The <u>Texas Education Agency</u>
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status



User: Public User Role: Public

2017-2018 Indicator Test 2A Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Indicator: Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.) Status Passed Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** None Field Value Clean Audit: 2 **Options** Print Back

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst 3.0.3.18



Rating Year: 2017-2018 + CDN: 057847 **Select An Option** Help Home Exit 2017-2018 Indicator Test 3 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter school is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also Indicator: exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.) **Status** Passed Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** None Field Value No Debt Defaults: 82 **Options** Print Back

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov

The Texas Education Agency

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2017-2018 † CDN: 057847

Select An Option † Help Home Exit

Exit 2017-2018 Indicator Test 4 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Indicator: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies? **Status Passed** Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** None Field Value **Timely Payments:** C2 **Options** Print Back

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst 3.0, 3.18

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2017-2018 + CDN:

057847

Select An Option

Home

2017-2018 Indicator Test 5

Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) Indicator: (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have an average of 7 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 7 percent increase in 5 years.) **Status** Passed Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** A + B > COR (((D-E)/E)*100) >= FB = B1 + B2 + B3Field Value A. Total net asset balance in the 2408554.0000 statement of financial position: 0.0000 B1. Pension Expense: B2. Other Post Employment Benefits 0.0000 (OPEB): 0.0000 B3. Net Pension Liability(NPL): B. Pension Expense, Other Post 0.0000 Employment Benefits (OPEB), and Net Pension Liability (NPL), as applicable:

C. Net Assets Threshold:

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status

	pullularian
	O
OR	
D. Number of students in membership in year 5 from base year:	823
E. Number of students in membership in base year:	0
F. Threshold for percent change in students in membership:	7
Options Print Back	

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above. charterfirst $3.0.3.18\,$

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2017-2018 + CDN: 057847

Select An Option

Indicator Details and Formul	Result Determination Reference
Charter School Name:	VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)
Indicator:	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.
Results/Points	6
Last Updated:	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM
Formula [(A+B)/(C-D-E)] * 365 Field	Value
A. Cash & Equivalents: 3. Current Investments: C. Total Expenditures: D. Depreciation Expense:	696638.0000 0.0000 6158721.0000
E. Pension Expense:	0.0000



Rating Year: 2017-2018 \$ CDN: 057847 **Select An Option †** Help Home Exit 2017-2018 Indicator Test 7 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for Indicator: the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt? Results/Points 8 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM Formula A/B Field Value 877927.0000 A. Current Assets: 490277.0000 B. Current Liabilities: C. Threshold for Current Assets to Current Liabilites Ratio: **Options** Print Back

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov

The Texas Education Agency

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095

Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above.



057847

Select An Option

Home Help 2017-2018 Indicator Test 8 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the charter school passes this Indicator: indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have an average of 7 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 7 percent increase in 5 years.) Results/Points 10 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** (A - B) / C(((D-E)/E)*100) >= FB = B1 + B2 + B3Field Value 1076035.0000 A. Long Term Liabilities: 0.0000 B1. Pension Expense: B2. Other Post Employment Benefits 0.0000 (OPEB): 0.0000 B3. Net Pension Liability(NPL): 0.0000 B. Pension Expense, OPEB, and NPL, as applicable:

3974866.0000

C. Total Assets:

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status

OR		
D. Number of students in membership in year 5 from base year:	823	
E. Number of students in membership in base year:	0	
F. Threshold for percent change in students in membership:	7	
Options Print Back		

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov

The Texas Education Agency

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095

Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst $3.0.3.18\,$



Rating Year: 2017-2018 CDN: 057847 **Select An Option** Help Home Exit

2017-2018 Indicator Test 9 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation? If not, was the charter school's number Indicator: of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 40 days? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded. Results/Points 10 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM Formula [A/(B-C-D)-1] > 0Field Value 6705270.0000 A. Total Revenue: 6158721.0000 B. Total Expenses: 272612.0000 C. Depreciation: 0.0000 D. Pension Expense: Options

Print:

Back

Select An Option



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year:	2017-2018 🕏	CDN:	057847	
			Homo	

harter School Name:	VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)
ndicator:	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?
tesults/Points	10
ast Updated:	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM
Formula (A - B + C + D - E) / D	
D = D1 + D2	
Field	Value
A. Total Revenue:	6705270.0000
B. Total Expenses:	6158721.0000
C. Depreciation:	272612.0000
D1. Interest Amount:	104801.0000
D2. Principal Amount:	395940.0000
E. Pension Expense:	0.0000

12/20/2018 Indicator Detail Status



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year:	2017-2018 ‡	CDN:	057847	‡
	\$	Help	Home	Fyit

Select An Option 2017-2018 Indicator Test 11 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name:** VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847) Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or Indicator: less than the threshold ratio? Results/Points 10 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** ((A/B) Between Threshold Ratio Ranges(Based on Charter School Size) **Field** Value Average Daily Attendance: 764.248 443366.0000 A. Admin Costs: 3113585.0000 B. Other Costs: **Options** Print Back

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Audit Home Page: $$\underline{Financial\ Compliance\ Division}$ & | Send\ comments\ or\ suggestions\ to\ $\underline{financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov}$ \\ \hline & The\ $\underline{Texas\ Education\ Agency}$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 <u>Copyright</u> © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above charterfirst 3.0.3.18



				_		_	-	-	-
		R	a	t	i	n	•	7	Vear

Rating Year: 2017-2018 + CDN:

057847

Select An Option

Help Home Exit 2017-2018 Indicator Test 12 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total Indicator: staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the charter school will automatically pass this indicator.) Results/Points 10 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** (A / B) - 1 > -0.15 Or C - D > 0A = A1 / A2B = B1 / B2Field Value A1. Number of currently enrolled 823 students: 78.9528 A2. Number of current FTE Staff: B1. Number of students enrolled 3 727 years prior to the year under review: 64.5251 B2. Number of FTE Staff 3 years prior to the year under review: C. Number of currently enrolled 823 students: D. Number of students enrolled 3 727 years prior to the year under review:

Options



User: Public User Role: Public

17-2018 Indicator Test 13	
ndicator Details and Formula	Result Determination Reference
harter School Name:	VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)
ndicator:	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?
esults/Points	10
.ast Updated:	8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM
Formula ((A / B) < C)	
-(A / B) < C)	Value
A. Data Variance (Sum of Differences)	24.0000
3. Total Expenses:	6158721.0000
C. Threshold for percentage of Data Variance:	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov

The Texas Education Agency

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095

Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2017-2018 \$ CDN: 057847

Select An Option \$ Help Home Exit

2017-2018 Indicator Test 14 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, Indicator: contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.) Results/Points 10 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** None Field Value (Not) Material Non-Compliance: **Options** Print Back

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst 3.0.3.18



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2017-2018 † CDN: 057847

Select An Option † Help Home Exit 2017-2018 Indicator Test 15 Indicator Details and Formula Result Determination Reference **Charter School Name: VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS(057847)** Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Indicator: Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship? Results/Points 10 Last Updated: 8/1/2018 10:27:28 AM **Formula** None Field Value No Adjusted Repayment Schedule: **Options** Print Back

Audit Home Page: $\frac{Financial\ Compliance\ Division}{Financial\ Compliance\ Division}$ | Send comments or suggestions to $\frac{Financial\ Countability@tea.texas.gov}{Financial\ Countability@tea.texas.gov}$ The $\frac{Texas\ Education\ Agency}{1701\ North\ Congress\ Avenue} \cdot Austin, Texas, 78701 \cdot (512)\ 463-9095$

1 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above. charterfirst 3.0.3.18



12/20/2018 Overall Statistics



User: Public User Role: Public

Home

Overall Statistics (Non-University Charters)

All Results	s by Indicato	or Answers By 1	Indicators	
Status	Count	% Total	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
ail	8	4.85	3923	1.47
Pass	157	95.15	262569	98.53
TOTAL	165	100.00	266492	~ 100.00

The <u>Texas Education Agency</u>
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above. charterfirst 3.0.3.18

12/20/2018 Overall Statistics



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2017-2018 **†** CDN:

Home

Overall Statistics (Non-University Charters)

All Results by Indica	tor Answe	ers By Indica	tors	
Ratings	Count	% Total	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
A - Superior	118	71.52	216323	81.17
B - Above Standard	23	13.94	32523	12.20
C - Meets Standard	16	9.70	13723	5.15
F - Substandard Achievement	8	4.85	3923	1.47
TOTAL	165	100.00	266492	100.00

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov The <u>Texas Education Agency</u>
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above. charterfirst 3.0.3.18

12/20/2018 Overall Statistics



User: Public User Role: Public

Overall Statistics (Non-University Charters)

Home

							-				
All Res	ults by	Indi	cator Answer	s By	Indi	cator	5				
ndicat	or Yes	No	Undetermined	10	8	6	4	2	0	-1	Total
1	165	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166
2 A	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166
2B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166	0	166
3	164	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166
4	165	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166
5	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	166
6	0	0	0	111	12	8	7	11	17	0	166
7	0	0	0	134	6	7	3	3	13	0	166
3	0	0	0	160	0	4	2	0	0	0	166
9	0	0	0	151	0	0	0	0	15	0	166
10	0	0	0	137	4	3	3	2	17	0	166
11	0	0	0	107	16	13	11	3	16	0	166
12	0	0	0	153	0	0	0	0	13	0	166
13	0	0	0	157	0	0	0	0	9	0	166
L4	0	0	0	165	0	0	0	0	1	0	166
15	0	0	0	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	166

Audit Home Page: $\underline{\text{Financial Compliance Division}}$ | Send comments or suggestions to $\underline{\text{financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov}}$ The $\underline{\text{Texas Education Agency}}$ 1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095

Village Tech Schools Rating Comparison to Prior Year

FIRST RATING COMPARISON TO PRIOR YEAR:

Indicator		2017-18	2016-17
#	Indicator Description	Score	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	YES	PASS
2A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole?	YES	PASS
2B	Did the external auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?		Not scored
3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?	YES	PASS
4	Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other governmental agencies?	YES	PASS
5	Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school great than zero?	YES	PASS
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses?	6	6
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt?	8	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency?	10	10
9	Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation?	10	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	10	10
11	Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	10	10
12	Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years?	10	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?	10	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds?	10	10

	Charter First Rating:	A - SUPERIOR	SUPERIOR
	Total Score:	94	96
		2017-18	2016-17
15	Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	10	10

2017-18 DETERMINATION OF RATING:

- A. Did the Charter School fail any of the critical indicators 1, 3, 4, 5 or 2A? If so, then the Charter School's rating is F for Substandard Achievement, regardless of points earned.
- B. Determine rating by applicable range for summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 6-15):

Rating	Points
Pass	0-0
Fail	0-0
Suspended	0-0
Undetermined	0-0
A – Superior	90 – 100
B – Above Standard	80 – 89
C – Meets Standard	60 – 79
F – Substandard Achievement	0 – 59

2016-17 DETERMINATION OF RATING:

- A. Did the Charter School answer 'No' to indicators 1, 2A, 3, 4, 5 OR did the Charter School answer 'No' to both 2A and 2B? If so, the Charter School's rating is Substandard Achievement.
- B. Determine rating by applicable range for summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 6-15):

Rating	Points
Suspended	0-0
Undetermined	0-0
A – Superior	90 – 100
B – Above Standard	80 – 89
C – Meets Standard	60 – 79
F – Substandard Achievement	0 – 59

Required Superintendent and Board Disclosures

Charter FIRST Annual Financial Management Report

VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS

Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing Subchapter AA,

Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System, Section 109.1001(q). Effective 8/1/2018.

The template has been established to help the charter schools in gathering their data and presenting it at their School FIRST hearing. The template may not be all inclusive.

Superintendent's Current Employment Contract

A copy of the superintendent's current employment contract at the time of the School FIRST hearing is to be provided.

In lieu of publication in the annual School FIRST financial management report, the charter school may choose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the charter school's Internet site.

If published on the Internet, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

				Melissa				
	David \	David Williams,		Valadez-				
Description of Reimbursements	Superii	uperintendent	Daniel Price	Daniel Price Cummings	Lucy Hale	Josh Nason Jerry Cook	Jerry Cook	
Meals	\$	277.07	↔	· •	· \$	\$	· •	
Lodging	↔	134.60						
Transportation	↔	446.46						
Motor Fuel	↔	00.09						
Other	↔	3,399.72						
Total	ω	4,318	\$	\$	\$	\$	- \$	

All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported.

Items to be reported per category include:

Meals - Meals consumed out of town, and in geographic-boundary meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging – Hotel charges.

Transportation – Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls).

otor fuel – Gasolin

Other: Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined

Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017 Name(s) of Entity(ies)

Amount Received

Total

Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc.) that has no relation to charter school business.

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

Total

Josh Nason Jerry Cook Lucy Hale Cummings Melissa Valadez-Daniel Price David Williams, Superintendent

Note - An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the charter school administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.

Business Transactions Between Charter School and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period

Ended August 31, 2017

Amounts

	Jerry Cook	\$
	Josh Nason	↔
	Lucy Hale	\$
Valadez-	Cummings	\$
	Daniel Price	\$

Melissa

Note - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.